



### Tempus Project n. 517340-TEMPUS-1-2011-1-IT-TEMPUS-SMGR DOCUMENTATION FOR QUALITY ASSURANCE OF STUDY PROGRAMMES (DoQuP)

WP.1 - Definition and implementation of an on-line documentation system for quality assurance of study programmes in partner countries

Deliverable 1.1 - Standards for the quality assurance of study programmes

Deliverable 1.2 - Information and data for the quality assurance of study programmes

Deliverable 1.3 – Methodologies and procedures of definition, gathering, elaboration and presentation of the identified information and data for the quality assurance of study programmes

DoQuP Standards and Guidelines for the internal quality assurance of study programmes in partner countries (DoQuP Model)

Annexes

**Final** 

**June 2014** 

#### **Annexes**

- Annex 1 Correspondence between ENQA standards and guidelines for internal quality assurance of higher education and DoQuP standards and quality requirements
- Annex A2 Synthesis of the Tuning approach to the definition of the study programme competences
- Annex A3.1 Synthesis of the Tuning approach to the definition of the study programme learning outcomes
- Annex A3.2 Dublin descriptors for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle study programmes
- Annex B2 Synthesis of the Tuning comments to the formulation of the study programme
- Annex C5.1 Partnerships for carrying out training periods outside University
- Annex C5.2 Partnerships for carrying out international mobility periods
- Annex D1 Results of the monitoring of the entrance students
- Annex D2 Results of the tests for the assessment of the students' learning
- Annex D3 Results of the students' progression in their studies
- Annex E2.1 Processes for the study programme management and responsibilities for their management
- Annex E2.2 Positions of responsibility
- Annex E3 Revision Report

# Annex 1 - <u>Correspondence between ENQA standards and guidelines for internal quality assurance of higher education and DoQuP standards and quality requirements</u>

1.1 Policy and procedures for quality assurance

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Institutions should have a policy and associated procedures for the assurance of the quality and standards of their programmes and awards. They should also commit themselves explicitly to the development of a culture which recognises the importance of quality, and quality assurance, in their work. To achieve this, institutions should develop and implement a strategy for the continuous enhancement of quality.  The strategy, policy and procedures should have a formal status and be publicly available. They should also include a role for students and other stakeholders.	E
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements
Formal policies and procedures provide a framework within which higher education institutions can develop and monitor the effectiveness of their quality assurance systems. They also help to provide public confidence in institutional autonomy. Policies contain the statements of intentions and the principal means by which these will be achieved. Procedural guidance can give more detailed information about the ways in which the policy is implemented and provides a useful reference point for those who need to know about the practical aspects of carrying out the procedures.  The policy statement is expected to include:  • the relationship between teaching and research in the institution;  • the institution's strategy for quality and standards;  • the organisation of the quality assurance system;  • the responsibilities of departments, schools, faculties and other organisational units and individuals for the assurance of quality;  • the involvement of students in quality assurance;	E1,E2
• the ways in which the policy is implemented, monitored and revised.  The realisation of the EHEA depends crucially on a commitment at all levels of an institution to ensuring that its programmes have clear and explicit	A3
intended outcomes; that its staff are ready, willing and able to provide teaching and learner	C1
support that will help its students achieve those outcomes; and that there is full, timely and tangible recognition of the contribution to its work by those of its staff who demonstrate particular excellence, expertise and dedication.	D4
All higher education institutions should aspire to improve and enhance the education they offer their students.	E3

1.2 Approval, monitoring and periodic review of programmes and awards

ENQA Standard Correspond DoQuP

	Standards
Institutions should have formal mechanisms for the approval, periodic review and monitoring of their programmes and awards.	A, B, D, E
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements
The confidence of students and other stakeholders in higher education is more likely to be established and maintained through effective quality assurance activities which ensure that programmes are well-designed, regularly monitored and periodically reviewed, thereby securing their continuing relevance and currency.  The quality assurance of programmes and awards are expected to include:  • development and publication of explicit intended learning outcomes;  • careful attention to curriculum and programme design and content;  • specific needs of different modes of delivery (e.g. full time, part-time,	A3 B2 B2
<ul> <li>distance-learning, e-learning) and types of higher education (e.g. academic, vocational, professional);</li> <li>availability of appropriate learning resources;</li> <li>formal programme approval procedures by a body other than that teaching</li> </ul>	C1,C2,C4 B2
<ul> <li>the programme;</li> <li>monitoring of the progress and achievements of students;</li> <li>regular periodic reviews of programmes (including external panel members);</li> </ul>	D2,D3 E3
<ul> <li>regular feedback from employers, labour market representatives and other relevant organisations;</li> <li>participation of students in quality assurance activities.</li> </ul>	D5 D4,E1,E2

#### 1.3 Assessment of students

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Students should be assessed using published criteria, regulations and procedures which are applied consistently.	В
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements

The assessment of students is one of the most important elements of higher	
education. The outcomes of assessment have a profound effect on students'	
future careers. It is therefore important that assessment is carried out	
professionally at all times and takes into account the extensive knowledge	
which exists about testing and examination processes. Assessment also	
provides valuable information for institutions about the effectiveness of	
teaching and learners' support.	
Student assessment procedures are expected to:	
• be designed to measure the achievement of the intended learning outcomes and other programme objectives;	B2,D2
• be appropriate for their purpose, whether diagnostic, formative or summative;	B2,D2
have clear and published criteria for marking;	B4
be undertaken by people who understand the role of assessment in the	C1
progression of students towards the achievement of the knowledge and	
skills associated with their intended qualification;	
where possible, not rely on the judgements of single examiners;	B2
• take account of all the possible consequences of examination regulations;	B2
have clear regulations covering student absence, illness and other	B2
mitigating circumstances;	
ensure that assessments are conducted securely in accordance with the	D2
institution's stated procedures;	
• be subject to administrative verification checks to ensure the accuracy of	C4
the procedures.	
In addition, students should be clearly informed about the assessment strategy	E4
being used for their programme, what examinations or other assessment	
methods they will be subject to, what will be expected of them, and the	
criteria that will be applied to the assessment of their performance.	

1.4 Quality assurance of teaching staff

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Institutions should have ways of satisfying themselves that staff involved with the teaching of students are qualified and competent to do so. They should be available to those undertaking external reviews, and commented upon in reports.	С
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements

Teachers are the single most important learning resource available to most	C1, D4
students. It is important that those who teach have a full knowledge and	
understanding of the subject they are teaching, have the necessary skills and	
experience to transmit their knowledge and understanding effectively to	
students in a range of teaching contexts, and can access feedback on their own	
performance.	
Institutions should ensure that their staff recruitment and appointment	C1
procedures include a means of making certain that all new staff have at least	
the minimum necessary level of competence.	
Teaching staff should be given opportunities to develop and extend their	C1
teaching capacity and should be encouraged to value their skills. Institutions	
should provide poor teachers with opportunities to improve their skills to an	
acceptable level and should have the means to remove them from their	
teaching duties if they continue to be demonstrably ineffective.	

1.5 Learning resources and student support

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Institutions should ensure that the resources available for the support of student learning are adequate and appropriate for each programme offered.	С
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements
In addition to their teachers, students rely on a range of resources to assist their learning. These vary from physical resources such as libraries or computing facilities	C2
to human support in the form of tutors, counsellors, and other advisers.  Learning resources and other support mechanisms should be readily accessible to students, designed with their needs in mind and responsive to feedback from those who use the services provided.	C1,C4 B2, C2
Institutions should routinely monitor, review and improve the effectiveness of the support services available to their students.	C4,E3

**1.6 Information systems** 

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Institutions should ensure that they collect, analyse and use relevant information for the effective management of their programmes of study and other activities.	D
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements

Institutional self-knowledge is the starting point for effective quality	
assurance. It is important that institutions have the means of collecting and	
analysing information about their own activities. Without this they will not	
know what is working well and what needs attention, or the results of	
innovatory practices.	
The quality-related information systems required by individual institutions	
will depend to some extent on local circumstances, but it is at least expected	
to cover:	
• student progression and success rates;	D3
employability of graduates;	D5
• students' satisfaction with their programmes;	D4
effectiveness of teachers;	D4
• profile of the student population;	D1
• learning resources available and their costs;	C1, C2, C3, C4
the institution's own key performance indicators.	D1,D2,D3,D4,D5
There is also value in institutions comparing themselves with other similar	A3
organisations within the EHEA and beyond. This allows them to extend the	
range of their self-knowledge and to access possible ways of improving their	
own performance.	

#### 1.7 Public information

ENQA Standard	Corresponding DoQuP Standards
Institutions should regularly publish up to date, impartial and objective information, both quantitative and qualitative, about the programmes and awards they are offering.	Е
ENQA Guidelines	Corresponding DoQuP Quality Requirements
In fulfilment of their public role, higher education institutions have a responsibility to provide information about the programmes they are offering, the intended learning outcomes of these, the qualifications award, the teaching, learning and assessment procedures used, and the learning opportunities available to their students. Published information might also include the views and employment destinations of past students and the profile of the current student population. This information should be accurate, impartial, objective and readily accessible and should not be used simply as a marketing opportunity. The institution should verify that it meets its own expectations in respect of impartiality and objectivity.	E4

## Annex A2 - Synthesis of the Tuning approach to the definition of the programme competences

Competences represent a dynamic combination of knowledge, understanding, skills and abilities. Competences are developed and acquired by the students during the educational process. Some competences are subject-area related (specific to a field of study), others are generic (common to any degree programme). It is normally the case that competence development proceeds in an integrated and cyclical manner throughout a programme.

Key competences are the main competences developed in a SP, connected to the functions/roles/activities the graduate is expected to carry out in the labour market and intended learning outcomes, expressed in terms of competences.

The competences to be established are a selection of the 'specific' and 'generic' competences that will have to be acquired by the time the SP is completed. A minimum of 8 and a maximum of 15 key competences are suggested.

To select the key competences, single out the main competences of the SP that, listed together, provide a good insight into the character of the SP to a relatively uninformed reader. Keep in mind that the degree profile aims to characterise the degree as a whole. This will be reflected especially in the sets of competences and sets of the learning outcomes to be established.

When describing the competence, remember that the competence should reflect an area of capability in relation to an identified level (first cycle/Bachelor, second cycle/Master). The Dublin descriptors could be used as a reference point.

With regard to the *subject specific competences*, if the programme subject area is one of those for which the key subject-specific competences have been identified, use them as reference framework (<a href="http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/competences/specific.html">http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/competences/specific.html</a>).

With regard to the *generic competences*, use the standard list of generic competences developed by Tuning (<a href="http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/competences/generic.html">http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/competences/generic.html</a>). Do not copy it: rather, use it as a starting point to write a more detailed competence statement tailored to the programme.

At this regard it is suggested to:

- begin with a short definition or the name of the competence (e.g.: Research, Communication, Interpersonal, Teamwork, Ethics) followed by a colon ':' (e.g.: Communication:);
- add to this short definition a qualifying/informative statement. (e.g.: Communication: ability to communicate effectively with a range of people from different backgrounds).

#### **Example**

Tuning lists 'teamwork' as a generic competence. This description is very general and does not show what the student is able to demonstrate. Therefore, it is necessary to give more detail and context to the competence so that the reader can understand exactly what the competence entails. An example of a competence statement might be: *capacity for working in a team and for assuming responsibility for certain tasks*.

The example meet the requirement that the description of the competence should be as short as possible, while at the same time providing enough context and detail as to give the reader some insight into what the student is able to do.

## Annex A3.1 - <u>Synthesis of the Tuning approach to the definition of the programme learning outcomes</u>

Learning outcomes are statements – made by the academic staff – of what a learner is expected to know, understand and/or be able to demonstrate after completion of a process of learning. Learning outcomes have to be expressed in terms of the level of competence (knowledge, understanding, skills and abilities) to be obtained by the learner. Consequently, the SP learning outcomes should align with the programme competences, not necessarily on a one to one basis, but overall. Learning outcomes must be accompanied by appropriate assessment criteria which can be used to judge whether the expected learning outcomes have been achieved. Learning outcomes, together with assessment criteria, specify the requirements for the award of credit, while grading is based on attainment above or below the requirements for the award of credit.

The set of SP learning outcomes (PLOs) is the same for all students who have completed the SP. If there are structured optional pathways or tracks within the SP, additional learning outcomes may be added to specify the results of those specific pathways or tracks.

PLOs have to be aligned with, and informed by, relevant international and national frameworks at both the general educational level and the specific subject level.

General international frameworks for Europe are the Qualifications Framework for the European Higher Education Area (QF for the EHEA) and the European Qualifications Framework for Lifelong Learning (EQF for LLL). For each cycle, or level, a set of descriptors has been designed to describe the attainments / attributes of all those holding that qualification. These descriptors are meant for SPs in general, in relation to the level/cycle involved, and by definition are not related to a particular subject, topic or area. They should be taken into account when phrasing and designing PLOs.

When they are available, it is - in addition - strongly advised to consult subject specific frameworks, such as the relevant Tuning subject area reference frameworks

(http://www.unidousto.org/tuningov/subject areas html) and/or national consentual frameworks of

(<a href="http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/subject-areas.html">http://www.unideusto.org/tuningeu/subject-areas.html</a>) and/or national conceptual frameworks of subject related descriptors. These frameworks contain subject specific descriptors for each of the cycles or levels and are used as a reference to decide whether the PLOs of a particular SP meet minimum standards.

The following are characteristics of good, verifiable, comprehensible and observable PLOs. They should be:

- specific (giving sufficient detail, written in clear language);
- objective (formulated in a neutral way, avoiding opinions and ambiguities);
- achievable (feasible in the given timeframe and with the resources available);
- useful (they should be perceived as relevant for higher education studies and civil society);
- relevant (should contribute to the aim of the qualification involved);
- standard-setting (indicate the standard to be achieved).

(**Note**: These general characteristics also apply to didactic unit PLOs.)

The language used to describe the learning outcomes is of crucial importance. While there are a variety of different ways of outlining a learning outcome, each one normally contains *five key components*:

1. an indication of the *type* of PLO: knowledge, cognitive processes, skills, or other competences. The PLO should clearly reflect the type of learning to be achieved: this means making it explicit

- whether the PLO is about acquiring knowledge, developing understanding and cognitive processing, learning a mechanical skill, a professional stance or the like. The language should also indicate whether the PLO is predominantly focused on one or more of the types of learning.
- 2. An active *verb* form. At this regard different taxonomies or classification systems have been developed to explain how people learn and what features distinguish the beginner from the expert. The taxonomies have specific verbs and expressions associated with their classification system. While these systems can be helpful in writing PLO statements, each of these taxonomies has its strengths and shortcomings. Each has been developed in a particular timeframe and for a particular purpose and might not always be applicable to present day learning.
- 3. The *subject* or *topic area* of the learning: this can be specific or general and refers to the subject matter, a field of knowledge, a professional activity, an ability to perform or a particular skill.
- 4. An indication of the *standard* or the *level* that is intended / achieved by the PLO. This needs to reflect the breadth, depth and complexity of the learning as well as the relevant qualification descriptor.
- 5. The *scope* and/or *context* of the PLO.

#### **Examples**

To illustrate the above, we analyse here two examples, one from the field of History and one from the field of Physics.

a) 'Knowledge of European and world chronology, especially from 1500 on, and ability to describe in synthetic terms the main approaches to the study of European empires and to world and global history'

Туре	Verb	Subject or Topic area	Standard or Level	Scope and/or Context
Knowledge				
Ability	to describe	European and world chronology, especially from 1500 on	in synthetic terms	the main approaches to the study of European empires and to world and global history

b) 'Ability to make measurements of physical quantities and to pursue an investigation by the design, execution and analysis of experiments, to compare results with existing knowledge and theories, and to draw conclusions (including degree of uncertainty)'.

Туре	Verb	Subject or Topic area	Standard or Level	Scope and/or Context
	to make measurement	physical quantities		
Ability	to pursue	investigation	by design, execution and analysis of experiments	to compare results with existing knowledge and theories, and to draw conclusions (including degree of uncertainty)

After completing the list (which should contain no more than 15 to 20 PLOs), it is important to check whether the list of SP learning outcomes accurately reflects the nature of the SP and is complete.

### Annex A3.2 - <u>Dublin descriptors for 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> cycle study programmes</u>

#### Qualifications that signify completion of the *first cycle* are awarded to students who:

- ➤ have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that builds upon their general secondary education, and is typically at a level that, whilst supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that will be informed by knowledge of the forefront of their field of study;
- > can apply their knowledge and understanding in a manner that indicates a professional approach to their work or vocation, and have competences typically demonstrated through devising and sustaining arguments and solving problems within their field of study;
- ➤ have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues;
- can communicate information, ideas, problems and solutions to both specialist and non-specialist audiences;
- ➤ have developed those learning skills that are necessary for them to continue to undertake further study with a high degree of autonomy.

#### Qualifications that signify completion of the second cycle are awarded to students who:

- have demonstrated knowledge and understanding that is founded upon and extends and/or enhances that typically associated with the first cycle, and that provides a basis or ideas, often within a research context:
- > can apply their knowledge and understanding, and problem solving abilities in new or unfamiliar environments within broader (or multidisciplinary) contexts related to their field of study;
- ➤ have the ability to integrate knowledge and handle complexity, and formulate judgements with incomplete or limited information, but that include reflecting on social and ethical responsibilities linked to the application of their knowledge and judgements;
- > can communicate their conclusions, and the knowledge and rationale underpinning these, to specialist and non specialist audiences clearly and unambiguously;
- ➤ have the learning skills to allow them to continue to study in a manner that may be largely self-directed or autonomous.

## Annex B2 - Synthesis of the Tuning comments to the formulation of the study programme

The SP is a set of coherent educational components, based on learning outcomes, that are recognized for the award of a specific qualification through the accumulation of a specified number of credits and the development of specified competences.

#### The formulation of a SP requires:

- the definition of the curriculum with its didactic units (modules and other educational activities):
- the definition of the didactic unit characteristics (specific learning outcomes, content, etc.);
- the planning of the curriculum development.

#### Curriculum

- Curriculum should be coherent with the degree profile and, in particular, with the intended learning outcomes.
- Curriculum should not overload students with excessive and redundant content.
- Curriculum should be organized in a consistent and efficient way by using workload-based credits.

#### Course units

- One of the main objectives of the Bologna process is to make SPs and periods of learning more comparable and compatible. This objective is strongly promoted by making use of the concept of levels, learning outcomes, competences and ECTS credits, but a further way to promote this aim is to base SPs on units of equal size. 'Modularization' of educational programmes will promote transparency, and will facilitate mobility and recognition. It may also help to make SPs more feasible to study, because it offers an instrument to balance the student workload over the different phases of the programme.
- The learning outcomes of the individual units should, together, result in the level of competences to be obtained by the learner, to be verified by the overall learning outcomes. According to the Tuning methodology all units are in one way or another related to each other. This not only applies to the didactic units which are part of the major or core part of the SP, but also to minor didactic units and electives. In a well designed SP, minors and electives should strengthen the profile of the SP while giving learners the ability to 'custom fit' the SP to their needs.
- In particular, SPs normally presume progression regarding the level of competences to be obtained and hence the learning outcomes to be achieved. As a consequence, the learning outcomes of didactic units which develop the competences at the highest level should precisely match the SP learning outcomes.
- Once the characteristics of the didactic units have been defined, at least two checks are necessary.
  - One regards whether the key generic and subject specific competences are covered, that means: to check progression paths of the key generic and subject specific competences identified; to check whether all SP key generic and subject specific competences are covered by the didactic units

The other regards the curriculum balance and feasibility, that means: to check whether the completed SP is balanced in terms of the effort it requires and the competences to be achieved;

to check whether the credits have been allocated on sound principles and that the students can complete the individual units and the whole SP within the allotted time.

#### **Planning**

• The planning of the curriculum development should promote the accomplishment of learning objectives by the students in the scheduled period of time, through a gradual process and activities which are consistent and co-ordinated with one another.

### **Annex C5.1 - Partnerships for carrying out training periods outside University**

Partnerships for carrying out training periods outside University						
Body	N. of students involved a.y. xx-3/xx-2	N. of students involved a.y. xx-2/xx-1	N. of students involved a.y. xx-1/xx			
	***	***	•••			

### Annex C5.2 - Partnerships for carrying out international mobility periods

Partnerships for carrying out international mobility periods							
Institution	N. of students in exit a.y. xx-3/xx-2	N. of students in entrance a.y. xx-3/xx-2	N. of students in exit a.y. xx-2/xx-1	N. of students in entrance a.y. xx-2/xx-1	N. of students in exit a.y. xx-1/xx	N. of students in entrance a.y.	

### **Annex D1 - Results of the monitoring of the entrance students**

### D1.1\_B – Results of the assessment of the mastery of the admission requirements (Data available at .../.../...)

	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
N. of students with an admission grade between and			
N. of students with an admission grade >			

D1.2 B – Students enrolled in the first course year (Data available at .../...)

Students enrolled in the first course year	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
New enrolments			
Provenance from other study programmes			
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per geographical provenance	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Residents in the same province			
Residents in the same region			
Foreign students			
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per school of provenance	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per grade of the school-leaving examination	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
N. of students with grade of the school-leaving examination between and			
N. of students with grade of the school-leaving examination >			

#### D1.2\_M – Students enrolled in the first course year (Data available at .../...)

Students enrolled in the first course year	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
New enrolments			
Provenance from other study programmes			
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per geographical provenance	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Residents in the same province			
Residents in the same region			
•••			
Foreign students			
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per first cycle programme of provenance	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Students enrolled in the first course year subdivided per graduation grade	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
•••			

### Annex D2 - Results of the tests for the assessment of the students' learning

D2.1 – Results of the tests for the assessment of the students' learning (Data available at .../...)

		a.y. xx-	3 / xx-2		<b></b>	a.y. xx-	2 / xx-1			a.y. xx	-1 / xx	
Diadactic units *	N. of stiudents **	N. of students who have overcome the exam ***	Medium grade	Variance	N. of stiudents **	N. of students who have overcome the exam ***	Medium grade	Variance	N. of stiudents **	N. of students who have overcome the exam ***	Medium grade	Variance

<sup>\*</sup> In alphabetical order.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Number of students who had the didactic unit in their study plan in the year under consideration.

\*\*\* With reference to the students who had the didactic unit in their study plan in the year under consideration.

### Annex D3 - Results of the students' progression in their studies

#### **D3.1\_B – Enrolments in the different course years** (Data available at .../...)

	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
N. of students enrolled in the 1st course year			
Students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year holding to the reference cohort*			
Total number of students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year			
Students enrolled in the 3 <sup>rd</sup> course year holding to the reference cohort			
Total number of students enrolled in the 3rd course year			
Students enrolled in the 4th course year holding to the reference cohort			
Total number of students enrolled in the 4th course year			
Out-of-course students** holding to the reference cohort			
Total number of out-of-course students			

<sup>\*</sup> Cohort: whole of the students enrolled in the first course year in the academic year of reference.

#### **D3.1\_M – Enrolments in the different course years** (Data available at .../.../...)

	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
N. of students enrolled in the 1st course year			
Students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year holding to the reference cohort*			
Total number of students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year			
Out-of-course students** holding to the reference cohort			
Total number of out-of-course students			

<sup>\*</sup> Cohort: whole of the students enrolled in the first course year in the academic year of reference.

<sup>\*\*</sup> University students who have failed to complete their course in the prescribed time

<sup>\*\*</sup> University students who have failed to complete their course in the prescribed time

### D3.2\_B – Dropouts (Data available at .../...)

	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Dropouts between the 1st and 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year			
Students who have changed study programme in the same University			
Students who have changed University			
Dropouts between the 2 <sup>nd</sup> and 3 <sup>rd</sup> course year			
Students who have changed study programme in the same University			
Students who have changed University			
Dropouts between the 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4th course year			
Students who have changed study programme in the same University			
Students who have changed University			

### D3.2\_M – Dropouts (Data available at .../.../...)

	a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
Dropouts between the 1st and 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year			
Students who have changed study programme in the same University			
Students who have changed University			

D3.3\_B – Credits acquired by the students passing from one course year to the successive one (Data available at .../.../...)

		a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
	N. of students			
Students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course	Median of the acquired credits			
year holding to the reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			
	N. of students			
Students enrolled in the 3rd course	Median of the acquired credits			
year holding to the reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			
	N. of students			
Students enrolled in the 4th course	Median of the acquired credits			
year holding to the reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			
	N. of students			
Out-of-course students holding to the	Median of the acquired credits			
reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			

## D3.3\_M – Credits acquired by the students passing from one course year to the successive one (Data available at .../.../...)

		a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	a.y. xx-2 / xx-1	a.y. xx-1 / xx
	N. of students			
Students envelled in the 2nd course	Median of the acquired credits			
Students enrolled in the 2 <sup>nd</sup> course year holding to the reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			
	Variance			
	N. of students			
Out-of-course students holding to the	Median of the acquired credits			
reference cohort	Medium value of the acquired credits			
	Variance			

### D3.4\_B - Graduates (Data available at .../.../...)

	a.y. xx-3/xx-2	a.y. xx-2/xx-1	a.y. xx-1/xx
N. of graduates			
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-3/xx-2	-	-	
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-4 / xx-3	-		
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-5 / xx-4			
Graduates with graduation grade ≥			

### D3.4\_M - Graduates (Data available at .../...)

	a.y. xx-3/xx-2	a.y. xx-2/xx-1	a.y. xx-1/xx
N. of graduates			
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-2/xx-1	-	-	
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-3 / xx-2	-		
Graduates holding to the cohort of a.y. xx-4 / xx-3			
Graduates with graduation grade ≥			

## Annex E2.1 - <u>Processes for the SP management and responsibilities for their management</u>

Standards	Quality Requirements	Fundamental Processes	Sub-processes	Position/s of responsibility/ies in charge of the processes / sub- processes	Position/s of responsibility/ies collaborating at the processes / sub-processes management (optional)	Documentation *
- Needs and Objectives	A1 - Educational needs of the labour market	A1 - Identification of the educational needs of the labour market	Definition of the organisations representative of labour market to be consulted Definition of the methods and schedule of consultation Identification of the educational needs of the labour market			
A - Needs a	A2 - Educational objectives	A2 - Definition of the educational objectives				
	A3 - Learning outcomes	A3 - Definition of the learning outcomes	Definition of the learning outcomes  Comparison with the learning outcomes of other SPs of the same typology			
B - Educational process	B1 - Admission qualifications and requirements	B1 - Definition of the admission qualifications and requirements	Definition of qualifications and requirements for the admission to the SP Assessment of the mastery of the admission requirements Definition of the criteria of admission			
	B2 - Design and planning	B2 - Design and planning	Definition of the curriculum			

	of the	of the	Definition of the		
	educational	educational	characteristics of		
			the didactic units		
	process	process	Definition of the		
			characteristics of		
			the graduation		
			exam		
			Documentation		
			of the suitability		
			of the curriculum		
			to the		
			achievement of		
			the learning		
			outcomes		
			Definition of the		
			criteria for		
			students'		
			progression in		
			their studies		
			Definition of		
			calendar and		
			timetable of		
			didactic units		
			and exams		
			Control of the		
			correspondence		
			of the		
			development of		
			the educational		
			process with the		
			designed and		
	D2	D2	planned		
	B3 -	B3 -	development		
	Realization of	Realization of	Control of the		
	the educational	the educational	adequacy of the		
	process	process	assessment		
			tests to the		
			learning		
			outcomes and of		
			the correctness		
			of the evaluation		
			of the students'		
			learning		
			Identification of		
			the needs of		
ces		C1 -	teaching staff		
Resources	C1 - Teaching	Identification	Put at disposal		
esc	staff	and put at	of the teaching		
1		disposal of the	staff		
O		teaching staff	Identification of		
			the needs of		
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l .	<u> </u>

			1 1 1 1		
			teaching support staff		
		Put at disposal			
			of the teaching		
			support staff		
			Identification of		
			the needs of		
			classrooms		
			Put at disposal		
			of classrooms		
			Identification of		
			the needs of		
		C2 -	rooms for		
		Identification			
		and put at	individual study		
		disposal of	Put at disposal		
	C2 - Facilities	facilities (in	of rooms for		
		particular:	individual study		
		lesson rooms,	Identification of		
		laboratories,	the needs of		
		libraries)	laboratories		
		,	Put at disposal		
			of laboratories		
			Identification of		
			the needs of		
			libraries		
			Put at disposal		
			of libraries		
		C3 -	Identification of		
		Identification of	the needs of		
	C3 - Financial	the needs and	financial		
	resources	put at disposal	resources		
	(optional)	of financial	Put at disposal		
		resources (optional)	of financial		
			resources		
			Organisation		
			and		
			management of		
		C4 -	the student		
			administrative		
	C4 - Student support services and manager of studer support (orientee tutoring and	Organisation	office	 	
			Organisation	 	
			and		
			management of		
			the orienteering		
		(orienteering,	service for		
		tutoring and	students in		
		assistance)	entrance		
		services	Organisation		
			and		
			management of		
			the tutoring		
			are tatoring		

		1	convice	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
			service		
			Organisation		
			and		
			management of		
			the service for		
			carrying out		
			training periods		
			outside		
			University		
			Organisation		
			and		
			management of		
			the service for		
			the students'		
			international		
			mobility		
			Organisation		
			and		
			management of		
			the job		
			placement		
			service		
		C5 -			
		Establishment	Definition of the		
		of partnerships			
		with national	partnerships for		
		and	carrying out		
		international	training periods		
		businesses,	outside		
		research	University		
	C5 -	institutions and			
	Partnerships				
		other Higher			
		Education	Definition of the		
		Institutions for	partnerships for		
		carrying out	carrying out		
		students'	international		
		external	mobility periods		
		education and	meaning portogo		
		mobility			
			Monitoring of the		
			assessment of		
l #			the mastery of		
Ses			the admission		
d F		D1 -	requirements		
an	D1 - Entrance	Monitoring of	(only for first		
ing	students	the entrance	cycle and		
tor	Stadonto	students	integrated		
oni		Students	programmes)		
D - Monitoring and Results			Monitoring of the		
			enrolments in		
			the first course		

		year		
D2 - Students' learning	the students'	,		
D3 - Students' progression in their studies	•			
D4 - Students' opinion on the educational process		Monitoring of the students' opinion on the didactic units  Monitoring of the students' opinion on the training periods outside University  Monitoring of the students' opinion on the periods of international mobility  Monitoring of the opinion of the final year students on the educational process and on the student support services		
D5 - Graduates' placement	D5 - Monitoring of the graduates' placement	Monitoring of the graduates' job placement  Monitoring of the prosecution of the studies in the second cycle programmes (only for first cycle programmes)  Monitoring of the employed graduates' opinions on the education received		

			Monitoring of the employers' opinion on the graduates' education (optional)		
r Quality	E1 - Policy and organization for quality assurance	E1- Definition of the policy and organization for quality assurance			
Management System for Quality	E2 - Management system of the study programme	E2 - Definition of the management system of the study programme			
lane	E3 - Revision	E3 - Revision			
E - M	E4 - Publicity of information	E4 - Publicizing of information on the study programme			

<sup>\*</sup> Indicate in which document the activities and/or the results of the process under consideration are registered.

### **Annex E2.2 – Positions of responsibility**

Positions of responsibility *	Appointment **	Composition ***

<sup>\*</sup> List all the positions of responsibility for the management of the SP.

\*\* Indicate the document where the position of responsibility under consideration is appointed.

\*\*\* Provide the composition of the position of responsibility under consideration (only in case of Commissions, Committees, Working Groups, etc.).

### **Annex E3 - Revision Report**

	Standard A - Needs and Objectives			
	Quality Requirement A1 - Educational needs of the labour market			
Are the consulted organisations representative	Strength and weakness points			
of the production, services	Improvement actions			
and professions world and				
the methods and schedule of				
consultation adequate in				
order to identify the				
educational needs of the				
labour market? Have the educational needs	Strength and weakness points			
of the labour market of	Strength and weakness points			
reference been identified in a	Improvement actions			
way useful to the definition of				
the educational objectives				
and of the learning outcomes				
of the SP, i.e. in terms of				
professional profiles and/or				
functions/roles/activities				
expected for the graduates				
and of the associated				
required competences?	Educational objectives			
Quality Requirement A2				
objectives of the SP been	Strength and weakness points			
established in terms of	Improvement actions			
professional profiles of the	·			
graduates and/or	···			
roles/activities students are				
to be prepared for and				
associated competences to				
be developed and obtained				
by the students during the				
learning process?	Other with and we always and t			
Are the educational	Strength and weakness points			
objectives of the SP consistent with the mission of	Improvement actions			
the institution the SP belongs	•			
to and the educational needs				
of the labour market of				
reference?				
Quality Requirement A3	- Learning outcomes			
Have the learning outcomes	Strength and weakness points			
of the SP been established in				

terms of what students are	Improvement actions
expected to know,	
understand and/or be able to	
demonstrate after completion	
of the educational process?	
Are the learning outcomes of	Strength and weakness points
the SP consistent with the	
established educational	Improvement actions
objectives?	' 
Are the learning outcomes of	Strength and weakness points
the SP comparable with the	
learning outcomes of other	Improvement actions
SPs of the same typology?	' 
	Standard B - Educational process
Quality Requirement R1	- Admission qualifications and requirements
Are the qualifications and	Strength and weakness points
requirements for the	outengur and weakiress points
admission to the SP	Improvement actions
adequate for a profitable	Improvement actions
participation of the students	····
to the established	
educational activities, in	
particular of the first course	
year?	
Do the methods of	Strength and weakness points
assessment allow to check	otterigti and weakness points
the effective mastery of the	Improvement actions
admission requirements by	
the students?	
	- Design and planning of the educational process
Are the curriculum and the	Strength and weakness points
characteristics of the didactic	and mountood points
units and of the graduation	Improvement actions
exam consistent with the	
established learning	···
outcomes?	
Do the assessment methods	Strength and weakness points
and criteria provide evidence	
of their capacity to check the	Improvement actions
effective achievement of the	
intended learning outcomes	
by the students and ensure	
trust that the level of	
achievement by the students	
is assessed in a credible	
way?	
Has the SP established	Strength and weakness points
appropriate criteria for the	
students' progression in their	Improvement actions

studies?	
Has the development of the	Strength and weakness points
educational process been	gg
planned in such a way that	
students are able to achieve	
the SP learning outcomes in	Improvement actions
_	' 
the expected time, according	
to a gradual process and	
activities coherent and	
coordinated with each other?	
Quality Requirement B3	- Realization of the educational process
Has the SP developed the	Strength and weakness points
educational process	
coherently with the designed	Improvement actions
and planned development?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Does the SP control the	Strength and weakness points
development of the	
educational process, in order	Improvement actions
to check its correspondence	
with the designed and	
planned development?	
Does the SP control the	Strength and weakness points
adequacy of the assessment	outengur and weakness points
tests to the learning	
outcomes and the	Improvement actions
correctness of the evaluation	
of the students' learning?	
of the students learning!	Ottom double Decompose
	Standard C - Resources
Quality Requirement C1	
Are the lecturers appointed	Strength and weakness points
according to pre-definite	···
criteria of choice or	Improvement actions
selection?	
Is the teaching staff	Strength and weakness points
quantitatively and	- 
qualitatively adequate for the	Improvement actions
achievement of the	
established learning	
outcomes?	
Do the SP or the structure	Strength and weakness points
the SP belongs to offer the	
teaching staff the opportunity	Improvement actions
to improve their teaching	mip. o . onioni wonionio
skills and reach acceptable	···
standards?	
Is the teaching support staff	Strength and weakness points
qualitatively adequate for the	outingui and weakiness points
achievement of the	Improvement actions
	Improvement actions
established learning outcomes?	
i outcomes?	

Quality Requirement C2	- Facilities	
<u> </u>		
Are the facilities at disposal	Strength and weakness points	
of the SP, with the	Improvement actions	
associated equipment,	Improvement actions	
quantitatively and	•••	
qualitatively adequate for the		
development of the established educational		
activities with the established		
didactic methods?		
·	- Financial resources (optional)	
Are the financial resources at	Strength and weakness points	
disposal of the SP adequate		
for the development of the	Improvement actions	
educational process		
according to the designed		
and planned activities?		
	Student support services	
Has the SP at disposal	Strength and weakness points	
student support (orienteering,		
tutoring and assistance)	Improvement actions	
services relevant to the		
educational process and able		
to make students' learning		
and studies progression		
easier?		
Quality Requirement C5	- Partnerships	
Are the partnerships with	Strength and weakness points	
public and/or private bodies		
for the carrying out of training	Improvement actions	
periods outside University		
adequate quantitatively and		
qualitatively to the		
achievement of the intended		
learning outcomes?		
Are the partnerships with	Strength and weakness points	
foreign Universities or other		
Higher Education Institutions	Improvement actions	
for the international mobility		
adequate quantitatively and		
qualitatively to the		
achievement of the intended		
learning outcomes?		
Standard D - Monitoring and Results		
Quality Requirement D1 - Entrance students		
Does the SP monitor the	Strength and weakness points	
entrance students in order to		
check their mastery of the	Improvement actions	
admission requirements?		
(only for the first cycle and	···	
1, or ey ord arra	L	

(at a superior of a superior or a)	
integrated programmes)	
Do the results of the	Strength and weakness points
monitoring of the entrance	
students provide evidence of	Improvement actions
the SP attractiveness?	
Quality Requirement D2	- Students' learning
Does the SP monitor the	Strength and weakness points
students' learning in order to	
check the effectiveness of	Improvement actions
the didactic units?	
Do the results of the	Strength and weakness points
monitoring of the students'	on ong and nounneed points
learning in provide evidence	Improvement actions
of the effectiveness of the	
didactic units?	···
	Ctudente' pregression in their studies
	- Students' progression in their studies
Does the SP monitor the	Strength and weakness points
students' progression in their	( e
studies (in particular:	Improvement actions
enrolments in the different	
course years and dropouts,	
number of credits acquired at	
the end of each course year,	
time to graduation) in order to	
check the effectiveness of	
the educational process?	
Do the results of the	Strength and weakness points
monitoring of the students'	
progression in their studies	Improvement actions
provide evidence of the	
effectiveness of the	
educational process?	
Quality Requirement D4	Students' opinions on the educational process
Does the SP monitor the	Strength and weakness points
students' opinion on the	
educational process (in	Improvement actions
particular: students' opinion	·
on the didactic units, on the	····
training periods outside	
University, on the periods of	
international mobility; final	
year students' opinion on the	
educational process and on	
•	
the student support services) in order to check the	
perceived adequacy and	
effectiveness?	
Do the results of the	Strength and weakness points
monitoring of the students'	and the meaniness points
opinion on the educational	Improvement actions
opinion on the educational	ווויףוסיטוופווג מטנוטוופ

process provide evidence of	
process provide evidence of	•••
the adequacy and	
effectiveness of the	
educational process and of	
the student support services?	
Quality Requirement D5	- Graduates' placement
Does the SP monitor the	Strength and weakness points
graduates' placement (in	
particular: graduates' job	Improvement actions
placement, prosecution of the	
studies in the second cycle	
programmes by first cycle	
graduates (only for first cycle	
programmes), employed	
graduates' opinions on the	
education received,	
employers' opinion on the	
graduates' education	
(optional)) in order to check	
the qualification spendability,	
the correspondence of the	
SP educational objectives to	
and the adequacy of the SP	
learning outcomes for the	
educational needs of the	
labour market?	
Do the results of the	Strength and weakness points
monitoring of the graduates	
placement provide evidence	Improvement actions
of the qualification	
spendability, of the	
correspondence of the SP	
educational objectives to and	
of the adequacy of the SP	
learning outcomes for the	
educational needs of the	
labour market?	
	dard E - Management system for quality
	Policy and organization for quality assurance
Has the institution the study	Strength and weakness points
programmes belongs to a	
public quality assurance	Improvement actions
policy and an effective	
organization for the quality	
assurance of study	
programmes?	
Quality Requirement E2	Management system of the study programme
Has the SP adopted an	Strength and weakness points
adequate and effective	

management system,	Improvement actions	
through the identification of		
the processes for a		
management for quality of		
the SP and the definition of		
an adequate organisational		
structure?		
Quality Requirement E3	- Revision	
Does the SP periodically	Strength and weakness points	
revise needs and objectives,		
educational process,	Improvement actions	
resources, results and		
management system, in		
order to guarantee their		
constant adequacy and		
effectiveness and promote		
the improvement of the		
effectiveness of the		
processes for the SP		
management and of the		
associated results?		
Quality Requirement E4 - Publicity of information		
Does the SP make public full,	Strength and weakness points	
up to date, easily acquired		
information, both quantitative	Improvement actions	
and qualitative, on SP		
objectives, educational		
process, resources, results		
and management system?		